SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON OF FDA'S PENDING REGULATIONS, PROPOSED INDUSTRY RESOLUTION AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

THIS CHART PRESENTS A COMPARISON OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE PROPOSED RESOLUTION WITH THOSE OF THE FDA'S PENDING REGULATIONS AND THE KOOP KESSLER ADVISORY COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATIONS. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT NONE OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE FDA'S PENDING REGULATIONS (OTHER THAN THOSE ESTABLISHING A NATIONAL MINIMUM AGE AND IMPOSING CARDING REQUIREMENTS) MAY BE NOW ENFORCED: ON APRIL 25, 1997, A FEDERAL COURT, ALTHOUGH DENYING THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT INVALIDATING THOSE REGULATIONS ON JURISDICTIONAL AND OTHER GROUNDS, ENJOINED FDA FROM IMPLEMENTING ITS ADVERTISING RESTRICTIONS AND STAYED IMPLEMENTATION, PENDING APPEAL, OF THE OTHER REGULATIONS.

I. YOUTH ACCESS / SALES AND DISTRIBUTION

FDA'S PENDING REGULATIONS	PROPOSED RESOLUTION	ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
VENDING MACHINES • ALLOWS SALES OF TOBACCO PRODUCTS THROUGH VENDING MACHINES ONLY IN "ADULT ONLY" FACILITIES. (POSSIBLE ADDITIONAL REGULATIONS AFTER 2 YEARS OF FDA MONITORING.)	VENDING MACHINES • BANS ALL SALES OF TOBACCO PRODUCTS THROUGH VENDING MACHINES.	VENDING MACHINES • SAME AS PROPOSED RESOLUTION
DISTRIBUTION THROUGH THE MAIL • BANS DISTRIBUTION OF TOBACCO PRODUCTS THROUGH THE MAIL, EXCEPT PURSUANT TO MAIL ORDER SALES.	DISTRIBUTION THROUGH THE MAIL • SAME, PLUS ONLY PERMITS MAIL ORDER SALES SUBJECT TO PROOF OF AGE AND REQUIRES A REVIEW BY FDA AFTER 2 YEARS TO DETERMINE WHETHER MINORS ARE OBTAINING TOBACCO PRODUCTS THROUGH THE MAIL.	DISTRIBUTION THROUGH THE MAIL • RECOMMENDS BANNING ALL SALES OF TOBACCO PRODUCTS THROUGH MAIL ORDER AND THE INTERNET AND OTHER ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS.
MINIMUM SALES AGE • SETS FEDERAL MINIMUM AGE OF 18, BUT DOES NOT PRECLUDE STATES FROM SETTING A HIGHER MINIMUM AGE	MINIMUM SALES AGE • SAME	MINIMUM SALES AGE • SAME

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/mfjy0000

FDA'S PENDING REGULATIONS	PROPOSED RESOLUTION	ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
PERMISSIBLE OUTLETS FOR ADVERTISING AND LABELING • PUBLICATIONS, OUTDOOR ADVERTISEMENTS & NONPOINT OF SALE: SETS THE FOLLOWING PERMISSIBLE OUTLETS FOR ADVERTISING AND LABELING: NEWSPAPERS, MAGAZINES, PERIODICALS, OTHER PUBLICATIONS, BILLBOARDS, POSTERS, PLACARDS AND IN NONPOINT OF SALE PROMOTIONAL MATERIAL (INCLUDING DIRECT MAIL ADVERTISING). ⇒ BANS OUTDOOR ADVERTISING WITHIN 1000 FEET OF ANY PLAYGROUND, OR ELEMENTARY OR SECONDARY SCHOOL, INCLUDING OUTWARD FACING RETAIL WINDOW ADVERTISING. ⇒ SEE PROVISIONS ON "OTHER MEDIA," BELOW. ⇒ AUDIO AND VIDEO FORMAT ARE PERMISSIBLE PROVIDED THAT AUDIO IS LIMITED TO WORDS ONLY WITH NO MUSIC OR SOUND EFFECTS AND VIDEO IS LIMITED TO STATIC BLACK TEST ON A WHITE BACKGROUND, WITH NO MUSIC OR SOUND EFFECTS.	PERMISSIBLE OUTLETS FOR ADVERTISING AND LABELING • PUBLICATIONS, OUTDOOR ADVERTISEMENTS & NONPOINT OF SALE: SAME, PLUS IMPOSES THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS: ⇒ SAME, PLUS BANS ALL OUTDOOR TOBACCO ADVERTISING, INCLUDING IN ENCLOSED STADIUMS. ⇒ PROHIBITS TOBACCO ADVERTISING ON THE INTERNET UNLESS DESIGNED TO BE INACCESSIBLE IN OR FROM THE UNITED STATES. ⇒ SAME, PLUS POINT OF SALE RESTRICTIONS NOTED BELOW.	PERMISSIBLE OUTLETS FOR ADVERTISING AND LABELING • RECOMMENDS THAT "MARKETING, PROMOTION AND ADVERTISING OF ALL TOBACCO PRODUCTS DIRECTED A PERSONS UNDER AGE 18 SHOULD BE BANNED." (P. AND INCLUDES WITHIN THIS GENERAL BAN, BANS ON BRANDED PROMOTIONAL ITEMS, BRAND-NAME EVEN SPONSORSHIP AND PRODUCT PLACEMENT, AS DISCUSSED BELOW. ALSO STATES THAT "ADVERTISING AND PROMOTION MUST BE ELIMINATED." (P. B1) FURTHER RECOMMENDS THAT "MARKETING, PROMOTION AND ADVERTISING OF ALL TOBACCO PRODUCTS, AND SERVICES, GOODS, AND OTHER ITEMS THAT CARRY TOBACCO BRAND NAMES, LOGS OR IMAGERY," SHOULD BE PROHIBITED. (P. B2, EMPHASIS ADDED).

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/mfjy0000

FDA'S PENDING REGULATIONS	PROPOSED RESOLUTION	ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
NON-TOBACCO BRAND NAMES ON TOBACCO PRODUCTS • BANS NON-TOBACCO NAMES FOR TOBACCO PRODUCTS, UNLESS BOTH THE NON-TOBACCO PRODUCT AND THE TOBACCO PRODUCT WERE SOLD IN THE U.S. PRIOR TO JANUARY 1, 1995.	NON-TOBACCO BRAND NAMES ON TOBACCO PRODUCTS • SAME	NON-TOBACCO BRAND NAMES ON TOBACCO PRODUCTS • NO SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATION
REDEMPTION OF COUPONS THROUGH THE MAIL • BANS SALES OR DISTRIBUTION OF INDIVIDUAL CIGARETTES.	REDEMPTION OF COUPONS THROUGH THE MAIL • SAME	REDEMPTION OF COUPONS THROUGH THE MAIL • SAME
PACKS FEWER THAN 20 • BANS THE DISTRIBUTION OF TOBACCO PRODUCTS THROUGH THE REDEMPTION OF COUPONS EXCEPT IN FACE-TO-FACE TRANSACTIONS WHERE PROOF OF AGE IS REQUIRED	PACKS FEWER THAN 20 • SAME	PACKS FEWER THAN 20 • SAME
TOBACCO BRAND NAMES ON NON-TOBACCO PRODUCTS • BANS THE USE OF TOBACCO BRAND NAMES OR ANY OTHER INDICATION OF TOBACCO BRAND IDENTIFICATION ON NON-TOBACCO PRODUCTS (E.G., T-SHIRTS, HATS, OTHER CLOTHING, GYM BAGS AND TRINKETS).	TOBACCO BRAND NAMES ON NON-TOBACCO PRODUCTS • SAME	TOBACCO BRAND NAMES ON NON-TOBACCO PRODUCTS • SAME
GIFTS AND CONTESTS • NO NON-TOBACCO GIFT OR ITEM MAY BE OFFERED IN CONSIDERATION OF TOBACCO PRODUCT PURCHASE(S) OR BASED ON PROOF OF PURCHASE. • CONTESTS, LOTTERIES AND GAMES OF CHANGE NOT PROHIBITED, BUT THEY MUST FOLLOW THE ADVERTISING RULES AND APPEAR IN "TOMBSTONE" FORMAT.	GIFTS AND CONTESTS • SAME • SAME	GIFTS AND CONTESTS • SAME • SAME
PAID PRODUCT PLACEMENT • NO PROVISION	PAID PRODUCT PLACEMENT • BANS DIRECT AND INDIRECT PAYMENTS FOR TOBACCO PRODUCT PLACEMENT IN MOVIES, TELEVISION PROGRAMS AND VIDEO GAMES.	PAID PRODUCT PLACEMENT • SAME, PLUS RECOMMENDS BANNING ALL TOBACCO PROMOTION IN PUBLIC ENTERTAINMENT.
PAYMENTS FOR "GLAMORIZING" TOBACCO PRODUCTS • NO PROVISION	PAYMENTS FOR "GLAMORIZING" TOBACCO PRODUCTS • BANS DIRECT AND INDIRECT PAYMENTS FOR "GLAMORIZING" TOBACCO USE IN MEDIA APPEALING TO MINORS, INCLUDING MUSICAL PERFORMANCES.	PAYMENTS FOR "GLAMORIZING" TOBACCO PRODUCTS • NO SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATION (BUT SEE PERMISSIBLE OUTLETS, ABOVE, FOR OVERALL PROMOTION RESTRICTIONS).

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/mfjy0000

FDA'S PENDING REGULATIONS	PROPOSED RESOLUTION	ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
ADULT PERIODICALS • ADULT PERIODICALS ARE PUBLICATIONS FOR WHICH THE YOUR (I.E., UNDER 18) READERSHIP IS NO MORE THAN 15% OF THE TOTAL READERSHIP AND WHICH ARE READ BY FEWER THAN 2 MILLION PERSONS UNDER THE AGE OF 18. WARNINGS • WARNING LABELS: NO PROVISION (WARNING LABEL CONTENT, SIZE AND LOCATION GOVERNED BY THE FEDERAL CIGARETTE LABELING AND ADVERTISING ACT).	ADULT PERIODICALS • SAME WARNINGS • WARNING LABELS: AMENDS THE FEDERAL CIGARETTE LABELING AND ADVERTISING ACT AND THE COMPREHENSIVE SMOKELESS TOBACCO HEALTH EDUCATION ACT TO MANDATE NINE NEW ROTATING WARNINGS FOR CIGARETTES AND FOUR FOR SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS, INCLUDING: "WARNING: CIGARETTES ARE ADDICTIVE" "WARNING: SMOKING CAN KILL YOU" "WARNING: CIGARETTES CAUSE CANCER" "WARNING: SMOKELESS TOBACCO IS ADDICTIVE" "WARNING: THIS PRODUCT CAN CAUSE MOUTH CANCER" AUTHORIZES FDA TO REQUIRE CHANGES IN THE TEXT OF THESE WARNINGS THROUGH NOTICE AND COMMENT RULEMAKING.	ADULT PERIODICALS • NO SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATION, BUT SEE PERMISSIBLE OUTLETS, ABOVE, FOR OVERALL AD RESTRICTIONS. WARNINGS • WARNING LABELS: RECOMMENDS REQUIRING WARNINGS ON TOBACCO PRODUCTS TO HIGHLIGHT NICOTINE ADDICTION, RISKS FROM SECOND HAND SMOKE AND THE DANGERS OF SMOKING DURING PREGNANCY.

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/mfjy0000

FDA'S PENDING REGULATIONS	PROPOSED RESOLUTION	ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
• BRAND NAME SPONSORSHIP: ⇒ BANS BRAND NAME SPONSORSHIP OF ATHLETIC, MUNICIPAL, ARTISTIC OR OTHER SOCIAL OR CULTURAL EVENTS. ⇒ ALLOWS CORPORATE SPONSORSHIP OF ANY EVENT IF THE TOBACCO COMPANY NAME WAS IN EXISTENCE ON JANUARY 1, 1995.	• BRAND NAME SPONSORSHIP: ⇒ SAME ⇒ SAME	• BRAND NAME SPONSORSHIP: ⇒ SAME ⇒ SILENT ON CORPORATE SPONSORSHIP.
TEAMS AND ENTRIES IN SPONSORED EVENTS: • PROHIBITS TOBACCO PRODUCT IDENTIFICATION ON ENTRIES AND TEAMS IN SPONSORED EVENTS (SUCH AS STOCK CARS AND THEIR DRIVERS AND CREWS).	TEAMS AND ENTRIES IN SPONSORED EVENTS: • SAME	TEAMS AND ENTRIES IN SPONSORED EVENTS: • NO SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATION (BUT SEE BRAND NAME SPONSORSHIP BAN AND GENERAL BAN ON ALL PROMOTION OF TOBACCO PRODUCTS, SERVICES OR GOODS THAT CARRY BRAND NAMES, LOGS OR IMAGERY, UNDER PERMISSIBLE OUTLETS ABOVE).

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/mfjy0000

F S PENDING REGULATIONS	PROPOSED OLUTION	ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMEN ION
REGULATION OF NICOTINE AND OTHER CONSTITUENTS • THE FDA RULE DECLINED TO ADDRESS THE REDUCTION OF NICOTINE LEVELS OR OTHER PRODUCT MODIFICATIONS IN ITS FINAL RULE, ALTHOUGH THE AGENCY BELIEVES ITS ASSERTION OF JURISDICTION WOULD PERMIT IT TO DO SO IN THE FUTURE.	REGULATION OF NICOTINE AND OTHER CONSTITUENTS • FDA SHALL BE EXPRESSLY AUTHORIZED TO IMPOSE STANDARDS FOR REDUCING THE HARD CAUSED BY TOBACCO PRODUCTS, INCLUDING REDUCING THE LEVELS OF NICOTINE AND OTHER CIGARETTE CONSTITUENTS. FDA IS PROHIBITED FROM BANNING THE SALE OF TRADITIONAL TOBACCO PRODUCTS TO ADULTS; BUT THE ELIMINATION OF NICOTINE (AFTER 12 YEARS) OR OTHER CONSTITUENTS FOUND TO BE HARMFUL BY FDA WOULD NOT BE DEEMED A BAN.	REGULATION OF NICOTINE AND OTHER CONSTITUENTS • RECOMMENDS THAT NICOTINE BE REGULATED IN A WAY CONSISTENT WITH ALL OTHER NICOTINE DELIVERY SYSTEMS (SUCH AS GUM, PATCHES, INHALERS). RECOMMENDS THAT FDA BE EXPRESSLY AUTHORIZED TO IMPOSE STANDARDS FOR PHASING OUT THE LEVELS OF NICOTINE AND REMOVING OTHER CIGARETTE INGREDIENTS AND CONSTITUENTS.
• NO SPECIFIC PROVISION	• FOR 12 YEARS FOLLOWING ENACTMENT, PERMITS FDA, UNDER A "SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE" STANDARD APPLICABLE TO ALL PERMANENCE STANDARDS FOR MEDICAL DEVICES, TO REQUIRE THE GRADUAL REDUCTION (BUT NO THE ELIMINATION) OF NICOTINE YIELDS AND THE REDUCTION OR ELIMINATION OF OTHER CONSTITUENTS OR COMPONENTS FOUND TO BE HARMFUL PROVIDED THAT: THE MODIFICATION WILL SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCE HEALTH RISKS TO CONSUMERS OF TOBACCO PRODUCTS, IS TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE, AND WILL NOT RESULT IN A SIGNIFICANT DEMAND FOR CONTRABAND OR "BLACK MARKET" TOBACCO PRODUCTS.	• RECOMMENDS NO LIMITATION, EITHER SUBSTANTIVE OR PROCEDURAL, ON FDA AUTHORITY TO REGULATE NICOTINE AND OTHER CONSTITUENTS. "THE BEST SCIENCE, INFORMATION AND HEALTH POLICY, NOT AN ARBITRARY DEADLINE, SHOULD DRIVE FDA REGULATORY TIME" (P. A3). RECOMMENDS THAT "LION'S SHARE OF THE BURDEN OF PROOF SHOULD REST WITH THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY IN ALL AREAS OF JURISDICTION." (P. A3)
• STANDARDS FOR MEDICAL DEVICES PROMULGATED PURSUANT TO "INFORMAL" NOTICE AND COMMENT RULEMAKING.	• MODIFICATIONS REQUIRE FORMAL RULEMAKING.	
• NO SPECIFIC PROVISION	• AFTER 12 YEARS, PERMITS FDA, UNDER A "PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE" STANDARD, TO REQUIRE THE ELIMINATION OF NICOTINE AND THE REDUCTION OR ELIMINATION OF OTHER CONSTITUENTS OR COMPONENTS FOUND TO BE HARMFUL PROVIDED THAT A MODIFIED VERSION OF THE STANDARDS DESCRIBED ABOVE ARE MET.	
• NO SPECIFIC PROVISION	• BANS THE SALE IN THE UNITED STATES OF CIGARETTES WITH A "TAR" YIELD GREATER THAN 12MG. (AS MEASURED BY CURRENT FTC METHODOLOGY) THREE YEARS AFTER ENACTMENT	• NO SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATION (BUT SEE "NO-LIMITATIONS" POLICY ON CONSTITUENT ELIMINATION, ABOVE).

51847 0953

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/mfjy0000

[illegible]'S PENDING REGULATIONS	PROPOSED [illegible]SOLUTION	ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMEN[illegible]TION
INGREDIENTS: • INGREDIENTS DISCLOSURE: NO COMPARABLE PROVISION IN CURRENT RULE, ALTHOUGH FDA BELIEVES IT COULD PROMULGATE A RULE ON THIS TOPIC IN THE FUTURE. UNDER THE FEDERAL CIGARETTE LABELING AND ADVERTISING ACT AND THE COMPREHENSIVE SMOKELESS TOBACCO HEALTH EDUCATION ACT, MANUFACTURERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT ONLY AGGREGATED INGREDIENT INFORMATION ON AN INDUSTRY-WIDE BASIS, NOT BRAND SPECIFIC INFORMATION, TO IIIIS.	INGREDIENTS: • INGREDIENTS DISCLOSURE: REQUIRES MANUFACTURERS TO SUBMIT TO FDA A LIST OF ALL ADDED INGREDIENTS, BY BRAND AND BY AMOUNT.	INGREDIENTS: • INGREDIENTS DISCLOSURE: SEE REDUCED RISK PRODUCTS, ABOVE FOR DISCUSSION OF THE NO LIMITATIONS POLICY ON FDA AUTHORITY TO REGULATE, DISCLOSE, AND IF NECESSARY PROHIBIT NICOTINE AND OTHER CONSTITUENTS AND INGREDIENTS.
• SAFETY ASSESSMENT: NO COMPARABLE PROVISION IN CURRENT RULE. UNDER THE FEDERAL CIGARETTE LABELING AND ADVERTISING ACT AND THE COMPREHENSIVE SMOKELESS TOBACCO HEALTH EDUCATION ACT, IIIIS IS AUTHORIZED TO STUDY THE SAFETY OF INGREDIENTS AND REPORT SUCH RESULTS TO CONGRESS.	• SAFETY ASSESSMENT: WITHIN 5 YEARS OF ENACTMENT, REQUIRES MANUFACTURERS TO PERFORM AND SUBMIT TO FDA A SAFETY ASSESSMENT FOR EACH INGREDIENT ADDED TO TOBACCO PRODUCTS. FDA MAY PROHIBIT USE OF INGREDIENTS WHICH IT FINDS TO BE HARMFUL AS USED.	• SAFETY ASSESSMENT: SEE FIRST BULLET, ABOVE.
• PUBLIC DISCLOSURE: NO PROVISION IN CURRENT RULE. NO DISCLOSURE TO CONSUMERS IS REQUIRED. IN THE PREAMBLE TO THE FINAL RULE, FDA NOTED THAT IT BELIEVES IT HAS THE AUTHORITY TO REQUIRE THE LABELING OR LISTING OF SUBSTANCES PRESENT OR DELIVERY BY CIGARETTES AND SMOKELESS TOBACCO, BUT DECLINED TO DO SO AT THIS TIME.	• PUBLIC DISCLOSURE: REQUIRES MANUFACTURERS TO DISCLOSE INGREDIENT INFORMATION TO THE PUBLIC, TO THE SAME DEGREE AS THE FOOD LABELING MODEL.	• PUBLIC DISCLOSURE: SEE FIRST BULLET, ABOVE.

51847 0954

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/mfjy0000

F 3 PENDING REGULATIONS	PROPOSED OLUTION	ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMEN ION
INDUSTRY-FUNDED PROGRAMS • NO PROVISION	INDUSTRY-FUNDED PROGRAMS • $75M PER YEAR FOR 10 YEARS TO COMPENSATE EVENTS, TEAMS AND ENTRIES FOR LOSSES IN SPONSORSHIP. • PROVIDES THAT PAYMENTS ARE TO BE TREATED AS "ORDINARY AND NECESSARY" BUSINESS EXPENSES.	INDUSTRY-FUNDED PROGRAMS • NO RECOMMENDATION • A NEW NON-PROFIT CORPORATION TO SUPPORT TOBACCO PREVENTION AND CONTROL PROGRAMS. • A VARIETY OF RESEARCH INITIATIVES • TOBACCO COMPANY PAYMENTS SHOULD NOT BE TAX-DEDUCTIBLE. EXCISE TAX PROPOSAL WOULD PRESUMABLY NOT INCREASE THE TAXABLE INCOME OF THE MANUFACTURER.
ENFORCEMENT • PROVIDES FOR ENFORCEMENT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND FDA PURSUANT TO THE POWERS SET FORTH UNDER THE FEDERAL, DRUG AND COSMETIC ACT.	ENFORCEMENT • SAME, PLUS THE PROPOSAL CONTEMPLATES GIVING STATES CERTAIN ABILITIES TO BRING ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS.	ENFORCEMENT • RECOMMENDS AGGRESSIVE ENFORCEMENT OF FEDERAL STATE AND LOCAL TOBACCO CONTROL LAWS. • RECOMMENDS ESTABLISHMENT OF NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS TO SERVE AS WATCHDOG AGENCIES OVERSEEING GOVERNMENT ENFORCEMENT. • RECOMMENDS ALLOWING INDIVIDUALS TO BRING SUITE TO ENFORCE FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL TOBACCO CONTROL LAWS.
COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS • NO PROVISION	COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS • REQUIRES MANUFACTURERS TO CREATE CORPORATE COMPLIANCE PLANS AND PROVIDE INTERNAL INCENTIVES FOR REDUCING YOUTH ACCESS AND TOBACCO USE INCIDENCE AND FOR DEVELOPING "REDUCED RISK" TOBACCO PRODUCTS.	COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS • NO RECOMMENDATION, BUT RECOMMENDS ESTABLISHING A FEDERAL OVERSIGHT BOARD TO INVESTIGATE ALL MATTERS RELATED TO THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY.

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/mfjy0000

S PENDING REGULATIONS	PROPOSED SOLUTION	ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMEN TION
PENALTIES • PROVIDES FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, SEIZURES, AND CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES UNDER THE PENALTY SCHEME OF THE FEDERAL FOOD, DRUG AND COSMETIC ACT.	PENALTIES • SAME, PLUS IMPOSES THE FOLLOWING:	PENALTIES • SAME, PLUS IMPOSES THE FOLLOWING:
⇒ NO PROVISION	⇒ ADDITIONAL PENALTIES OF UP TO $10 MILLION PER VIOLATION FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE OBLIGATION TO DISCLOSE RESEARCH ABOUT HEALTH EFFECTS AND INFORMATION ABOUT THE TOXICITY OF NON TOBACCO INGREDIENTS AND CONSTITUENTS	⇒ NO RECOMMENDATION
⇒ NO PROVISION	⇒ ADDITIONAL PENALTIES FOR VIOLATION OF THE RETAIL LICENSING REQUIREMENTS	⇒ ADDITIONAL PENALTIES FOR VIOLATION OF THE RETAIL LICENSING REQUIREMENTS
⇒ NO PROVISION	⇒ ADDITIONAL FINES FOR VIOLATION OF COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS; AND	⇒ ADDITIONAL FINES FOR VIOLATION OF COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS; AND
⇒ NO PROVISION	⇒ ADDITIONAL SURCHARGES FOR FAILURE TO MEET THE TARGETS FOR REDUCTION OF YOUTH TOBACCO USE	⇒ ADDITIONAL SURCHARGES FOR FAILURE TO MEET THE TARGETS FOR REDUCTION OF YOUTH TOBACCO USE

51847 0956

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/mfjy0000

S PENDING REGULATIONS	PROPOSED OLUTION	ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMEN ION
ENVIRONMENTAL TOBACCO SMOKE • NO PROVISION	ENVIRONMENTAL TOBACCO SMOKE • RESTRICTS INDOOR SMOKING IN "PUBLIC FACILITIES" TO VENTILATED AREAS WITH SYSTEMS THAT: ⇒ EXHAUST THE AIR DIRECTLY OUTSIDE ⇒ MAINTAIN SMOKING AREA AT NEGATIVE PRESSURE COMPARED WITH ADJOINING AREAS; AND ⇒ DO NOT RECIRCULATE THE AIR INSIDE THE PUBLIC FACILITY • EXEMPTS RESTAURANTS (EXCEPT "FAST FOOD" RESTAURANTS), BARS, PRIVATE CLUBS, HOTEL GUEST ROOMS, CASINOS, BINGO PARLORS, TOBACCO MERCHANTS AND PRISONS. • EMPLOYEES MAY NOT BE REQUIRED TO WORK IN SMOKING AREA • DIRECTS OSHA TO ISSUE REGULATIONS ON THE ABOVE STANDARDS, WITH THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY PAYING ENFORCEMENT COSTS. • DOES NOT PREEMPT OR OTHERWISE AFFECT ANY OTHER LOCAL LAW OR REGULATION THAT RESTRICTS SMOKING IN PUBLIC FACILITIES, OR OTHER RULES GOVERNING SMOKING IN FEDERAL AGENCIES • DOES NOT PREEMPT OR OTHERWISE AFFECT ANY OTHER LOCAL LAW OR REGULATION THAT RESTRICTS SMOKING IN PUBLIC FACILITIES, OR OTHER RULES GOVERNING SMOKING IN FEDERAL AGENCIES	ENVIRONMENTAL TOBACCO SMOKE • PROPOSES THAT ALL JURISDICTIONS ENFORCE LEGISLATION AND REGULATIONS TO ELIMINATE EXPOSURE TO ETS BY PROHIBITING SMOKING INCLUDING: ⇒ CONGRESS SHOULD ENACT LEGISLATION TO PROHIBIT SMOKING IN ALL WORK SITES AND IN ALL PLACES OF PUBLIC ASSEMBLY (PRESUMABLY INCLUDING HOSPITALITY VENUES). ⇒ STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS SHOULD ENACT LEGISLATION AND PROMULGATE REGULATIONS PROHIBITING SMOKING IN ALL WORKPLACES, INCLUDING ALL MILITARY INSTALLATIONS AND VETERANS HOSPITALS ⇒ STATES SHOULD ESTABLISH CLEAN INDOOR AIR LEGISLATION THAT PROHIBITS SMOKING IN ALL PUBLIC PLACES, INCLUDING OUTDOOR AREAS WHERE PEOPLE ASSEMBLE AND CONGREGATE ⇒ STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS SHOULD PROMULGATE REGULATIONS REQUIRING ALL ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS AND SECONDARY SCHOOL CAMPUSES TO BE 100% SMOKE FREE • PROPOSES THAT CONGRESS ENACT LEGISLATION PROHIBITING SMOKING ON PUBLIC FORMS OF TRANSPORTATION ORIGINATING FROM OR ARRIVING INTO THE U.S. OR ANY OF ITS TERRITORIES • RECOMMENDS THAT CONGRESS NOT PREEMPT STATES' ABILITY TO REGULATE IN THIS AREA

51847 0957

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/mfjy0000

F. . S PENDING REGULATIONS	PROPOSED SOLUTION	ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMEN .ION
TOBACCO PRICES • NO PROVISION	TOBACCO PRICES • ANNUAL PAYMENTS MADE BY TOBACCO INDUSTRY ARE TO BE REFLECTED IN THE PRICES MANUFACTURERS CHARGE FOR TOBACCO PRODUCTS.	TOBACCO PRICES • RECOMMENDS A NET INCREASE IN THE PRICE OF TOBACCO PRODUCTS, CONSISTENT WITH INFLATION AND EXCISE TAX INCREASES.
HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS • NO PROVISION	HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS • NO PROVISION	HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS • RECOMMENDS DIFFERENTIAL RATES FOR HEALTH, LIFE, AND OTHER RELATED INSURANCE PREMIUMS FOR TOBACCO USERS. • RECOMMENDS THAT INSURANCE COMPANIES, INCLUDING MEDICARE AND MEDICARE, PROVIDE REIMBURSEMENT FOR CESSATION PROGRAMS AS A LIFETIME BENEFIT. • RECOMMENDS DIFFERENTIAL RATES FOR COMPANIES WHICH ALLOW SMOKING IN THE WORKPLACE.
AGRICULTURAL SUPPORTS • NO PROVISION	AGRICULTURAL SUPPORTS • NO PROVISION	AGRICULTURAL SUPPORTS • RECOMMENDS ESTABLISHMENT OF PANEL TO REVIEW DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL TOBACCO GROWING AND PROVIDE STRATEGIES FOR REDUCING DEPENDENCE OF TOBACCO-GROWING STATES ON TOBACCO. • RECOMMENDS ESTABLISHMENT OF ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE AND DEVELOPMENT FUND TO ASSIST FARMERS IN DEVELOPING ALTERNATIVES TO TOBACCO FARMING. • RECOMMENDS ELIMINATION OF TOBACCO PRICE SUPPORT PROGRAMS FOR FARMERS, WITH SUBSIDIES REDIRECTED TO ALTERNATIVE CROPS AND MAINTENANCE OF FAMILY FARMS.

51847 0958

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/mfjy0000

FDA'S PENDING REGULATIONS	PROPOSED RESOLUTION	ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
STATE ENFORCEMENT INCENTIVES • NO SPECIFIC PROVISIONS (NOTE, HOWEVER, FDA IS PROVIDING FUNDS TO STATES FOR ENFORCEMENT OF FDA RULES VIA CONTRACT.)	STATE ENFORCEMENT INCENTIVES • DENIES FUNDS (PROVIDED BY THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY) TO STATES FAILING TO UNDERTAKE EFFECTIVE ENFORCEMENT STEPS TO REDUCE YOUTH TOBACCO USAGE AND IMPLEMENT YOUTH ACCESS RESTRICTIONS TO TOBACCO PRODUCTS. ENFORCEMENT REQUIREMENTS INCLUDE RANDOM, UNANNOUNCED INSPECTIONS OF RETAILER COMPLIANCE.	STATE ENFORCEMENT INCENTIVES • RECOMMENDS PROVIDING FUNDS, FROM TOBACCO INDUSTRY, TOBACCO LICENSING FEES, TAXES OR SURCHARGES, FOR STATE ENFORCEMENT EFFORTS. SIMILARLY RECOMMENDS COMPLIANCE TESTING THROUGH DECOY "STING" OPERATIONS.
HEALTH CARE • NO SPECIFIC PROVISION	HEALTH CARE • NO SPECIFIC PROVISION	HEALTH CARE • RECOMMENDS EDUCATING HEAL CARE PROVIDERS ABOUT METHODS OF PREVENTING CHILDREN FROM INITIATING TOBACCO USE, AND REQUIRE PROVIDERS TO COUNSEL CHILDREN AND YOUTH ABOUT TOBACCO USE. • RECOMMENDS INCLUDING TOBACCO USE BY CHILDREN AS A FACTOR IN EVALUATING HEALTH CARE SERVICE QUALITY. • RECOMMENDS THAT INQUIRY INTO PARENTAL TOBACCO USE BE A ROUTINE PART OF PRENATAL AND PERIODIC VISITS. • ADVOCATES DEVELOPMENT OF EDUCATIONAL MATERIALS FOR USE BY PARENTS AND CHILDREN IN HOSPITAL, DOCTOR AND DENTIST WAITING ROOMS.

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/mfjy0000